emorandum
DIRECTOR, FBI (62-109060)

DATE: 1/18/71

FROM :

MAC, LOS ANGELES (89-75)(RUC)

SUBJECT:

ASSASSINATION OF PRESIDENT
JOHN FITZGERALD KENNEDY,
DALLAS, TEXAS, 11/22/63
MISCELLANEOUS - INFORMATION CONCERNING

The Bureau is aware of information previously furnished the Los Angeles Office by EDGRE EUGHS BRADLEY, 12208 Smelita Street, North Hollywood, California.

On 1/8/71, BRADLEY again contacted the FBI Office at Los Angelsa and provided SA JAMES HC CAULEY with the following information:

BRADLEY stated that one of his informants has advised him that a person named HAROLD/WEISBERG told the informant he is writing another book oncerning the assassination of JOHN PITZGERALD KENNEDY and that this book will place the blame for the assassination on the FBI. WEISBERG also advised the informant he received the information placing blame on the FBI from a high official in the Secret Service. The identity of the official was not provided BRADLEY's informant.

BRADLRY stated that WEISBERG lives in a small town south of Baltimore, Maryland, and that WEISBERG has been closely associated with New Orleans District Attorney JAMES GARRISON. BRADLEY is of the opinion that WEISBERG has written several articles and possibly even a book concerning the KENESDY assassination.

BRADLEY stated that the Congressional Record of 9/29/68 contains a speech by Congressman WILLIS of Louisiana dontifying WEISBERG as having been removed in 1938 from the La Pollette Civil Libertles Commission for making unauthorized disclosures to the "Daily Worker." BRADLEY stated that WEISBERG was one of nine men fired from the U. S. State

LA 89-75

Dopartment in 1947 for having association with the Soviets and that on 12/16/66, WEISBERG had allegedly appeared before a Trotskylte group to discuss his book.

BRADLEY stated he desired to bring the above information to the attention of the Bureau because of his respect for the Bureau. BRADLEY stated that he does not know if there is any truth concerning the above information but he felt the FBI should be informed of the above. He stated he has not read any of WEISBERG's previous articles or book as he felt WEISBERG was not worthy of belief.